(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	licant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)
		,
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun	nmary by the examiner appea	ars in Part II above.
directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	n. The examiner will provide rate record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the provide and the pr	rate record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
Nojiri et al '188 and '703, and Izraelev '659 were discussed		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G	ENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Nojiri et al '703 and Nojiri et al '188 and Izraelev '659		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Part I.		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Type of Interview:  ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	oplicant's representative)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 March 2006</u>	Time:	
(2) <u>Leslie S. Garmaise</u> .	(4)	
(1) <u>George Manuel</u> .	(3)	
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
	George Manuel	3762
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	10/634,538	WOODARD ET AL.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)